

April 2023

Experimental proposal

(Examining the "Balls Theory" prediction that the speed of light isn't over time constant)

Eran Shimony

Physicist (B.sc.), Business (MBA) and real estate appraiser

For the purpose of proving that the speed of light is not constant over a long time period, as raised out of the "**Balls Theory**" - a quantum gravity theory I wrote, let's, for the speed of light changes over time, assume a function of the form:

$$.1) C(t) = e^{kt} - 1$$

when: C - the speed of light, t - time & k - a constant, depending on initial condition.

Hence, the distance (S) traveled by light leaving a far away star/quasar, is:

$$.2) S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(t)dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (e^{kt} - 1)dt = \left[\frac{e^{kt}}{k} - t \right]_{t_1}^{t_2}$$

(Where t1 and t2 are: times when the light came out (t1) and measured by us (t2) - the variables are measured from the beginning of time/universe.

For the purpose of finding the value of the constant - k, let's assume that the age of the universe is, as is known today, about 14 billion years or: 1.4×10^{10} years and that the known speed of light is about: 300,000 km/s or $1 \text{ light years/year}$.

Received:

$$.3) C(t) = C(1.4 \times 10^{10}) = e^{kt} - 1 = 1 \text{ light years/year} \rightarrow e^{kt} = 2 \rightarrow \ln 2 = kt = 0.693147181$$

Putting the universe known age, as mentioned, and get.

$$k = 0.69314718 / (1.4 \times 10^{10}) = 4.95105129 \text{E-}11 \text{ in units of: } 1/\text{light year}$$

Hence the real distance (light years) of a star (quasar) that the light left it about 1.4×10^{10} years ago (the beginning of the universe), after we set $t_1 = 0$, in equation #2, is:

$$.4) S_{(1.4 \times 10^{10})} = \left[\frac{e^{kt}}{k} - t \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} = \left[\frac{e^{kt}}{k} - t \right]_0^{t_2} = \frac{e^{kt(2)}}{k} - t(2) - 1/k$$

Setting: $k = 4.95105129 \text{E-}11$ & $t(2) = 1.4 \times 10^{10}$, in equation #4, and get:

$$.5) S_{(1.4 \times 10^{10})} = 2/k - t(2) - 1/k = 1/k - t(2) = 6,197,730,572 \text{ (light years)}$$

Unlike: 14 billion light years, as is known today (at a constant speed of light).

(Received that the star/quasar is about 2.25889 times closer than expected at constant speed of light or at a distance of about 0.442695041 than expected)

Now using the well-known fact that light intensity decreases within the square of the distance, it is obtained that the real light intensity of the examined quasar will be approximately: $5.1 \cong 2.25^2$ times lower than expected at a constant speed of light.

As an example, for a speed of light in the past, we calculate the speed of light in about 100,000 years ago.

$$.6) C_{(t)} = e^{kt} - 1 = e^{k(t2-100,000)} - 1 = e^{k(1.4 \times 10^{10} - 100,000)} - 1 = 0.99999009792193$$

We get that the speed of light in about 100,000 years ago, according the distribution assumed in formula #1, was about 2.97 km/s lower than its today speed (300,000 km/s).

Return to the integral in its general form (formula #2)

$$.7) S = \int_{t1}^{t2} C(t)dt = \int_{t1}^{t2} (e^{kt} - 1)dt = \left[\frac{e^{kt}}{k} - t \right]_{t1}^{t2}$$

In order to measure the real distance of a body we use the facts that: (t2 - t1 = t) and that t2, since the beginning of the universe until today, is equal to 1.4 x 10¹⁰ years, so we get:

As mentioned

t1 is the time, since the beginning of the universe, when the light left the object on its way to us.

&

t2 is the time, since the beginning of the universe, in which light is measured by us 1.4 x 10¹⁰.

Substitute in equation No. 7 and we get

$$.8) S = \left[\frac{e^{kt}}{k} - t \right]_{t1}^{t2} = \left[\frac{e^{kt}}{k} - t \right]_{(t2-t)}^{t2}$$

Then:

$$.9) S = \left[\frac{e^{kt}}{k} - t \right]_{(t2-t)}^{t2} = \frac{e^{kt(2)}}{k} - t(2) - \left\{ \frac{e^{k(t(2)-t)}}{k} - (t2 - t) \right\}$$

Arranging and offset the identical terms (t2) we get:

$$.10) S = \frac{e^{kt(2)}}{k} - \frac{e^{k(t(2)-t)}}{k} - t$$

In formula no 10, we place: the constant - k & the known age of the universe - t2 for objects at distances from us equal, at a constant speed of light, to - t (column #1). Then we get for their distance at the variable speed of light, according to the variation we assumed (formula #1), as follows.

column #7	column #6	column #5	column #4	column #3	column #2	column #1
The speed of light, as part of its speed today, before (in light years - according to column #1) - formula #6	How many times lower is the expected energy than expected (the square of the distance)	How many times closer is the object than measured today	Distance differences in percentages	Distance differences from constant speed of light - calculated in light years	Calculated distance - according to variable speed of light (placement in formula #10)	Distance in light years at constant speed of light = t
0.999999999990980		1.00		0.00000	0.10000	0.10
0.9999999999909790	1.0000000	1.00	0.00000000%	0.00000	1.00000	1
0.99999999999097900	1.0000000	1.00	0.00000000%	0.00000	10.00000	10
0.999999999990978980	1.0000000	1.00	0.00000000%	0.00000	100.00000	100
0.9999999999909789770	1.0000001	1.00	0.00000458%	0.00005	1000.000	1,000
0.9999999999909789870	1.0000010	1.00	0.00004951%	0.00495	9999.995	10,000
0.999999999990979219330	1.00001	1.000005	0.00049510%	0.495	99999.505	100,000
0.9999999999909814254560	1.00010	1.000050	0.00495097%	49.51	999,950	1,000,000
0.9998019677532510	1.00020	1.000099	0.00990178%	198.04	1,999,802	2,000,000
0.9990100348306960	1.00099	1.000495	0.04950234%	4,950.23	9,995,050	10,000,000
0.9901223699245800	1.00996	1.004967	0.49428904%	494,289.04	99,505,711	100,000,000
0.9033903060212390	1.10501	1.051197	4.87034303%	48,703,430.33	951,296,570	1,000,000,000
0.2190136542044750	2.99933	1.731856	42.25848207%	4,225,848,207	5,774,151,793	10,000,000,000
0.0000000000000000	5.10259	2.258891	55.73049591%	7,802,269,428	6,197,730,572	14,000,000,000

Conclusion:

When assumed (formula #1) an exponential distribution for the rate of the speed of light changing over time, we get that: visible objects are closer than expected at a constant speed of light - therefore they have a lower true brightness.

In this way we can explain, for example, the energy of quasars - objects that according to their Doppler effect (redshift, HR diagram) should be at a very large distance. A distance that requires enormous energy which cannot be explained by the known laws of physics. All this without the today prevailing assumption that their Doppler effect is caused by a relatively higher speed out of us (for example: a throwing from a black hole) while they are actually much closer

We get that the quasars, as a result of the changing over time speed of light are indeed closer than expected at a constant speed of light, as predicted by the "**balls theory**", all without the need for another forced theory.

Final note: our assumption for the changing distribution over time of the speed of light is only an assumption - doesn't based on experimental observation. As we measure the: calculated distance (column #2)/true brightness expected (column #6) according to varying light speed compared to the brightness/distance (column #1) at a constant light speed for many more objects, at varying distances, we might obtain the exact mathematical distribution for the rate changes over time of the speed of light.