Originally written: November 2026

Theory of Spheres ('Quantum Gravity')

or

Empty Spheres in 'Dimensionless Space' – The 'Fundamental Force' Behind Everything

(Quantum–Gravitational Force, 'Dark Matter' / 'Dark Energy', etc.)

Paper XI: Comprehensive Theory Overview and Evolution

Eran Shimony
Physicist (B.Sc.), MBA, and Certified Real Estate Appraiser

ABSTRACT

This paper provides a comprehensive overview and synthesis of the Theory of Spheres framework developed across Papers I through X. The framework is anchored by two primary driving goals:

- Unifying quantum mechanics with the force of gravity by deriving a rigorous quantum expression for attractive forces (Paper IIIa).
- Uncovering the foundational zero-dimensional oscillator engine that powers the entire cosmological system - the 0-D cosmic light-mass **(M^{l}_{DO})**, derived in Paper IX.

We trace the theoretical evolution from the initial optical-cosmological measurement model and quasar redshift analysis to the derivation of dimensionless fundamental constants and zero-dimensional continuous oscillators. The framework reconciles quantum gravitational forces, cosmological dispersion, and vacuum energy without requiring arbitrary dark matter or dark energy parameters. This review details the evolution of key mathematical formulations, highlighting discarded hypotheses alongside validated equations.

Part A: Introduction and Executive Summary

At its core, this series was built to accomplish two fundamental milestones as mentioned in the abstract. Building upon these, the Theory of Spheres introduces a unified geometric paradigm aimed at resolving foundational mismatches in modern physics, including the Hubble Tension, the nature of dark energy, and the unification of quantum mechanics with gravitation. Developed iteratively across ten preceding papers, the framework models physical interactions through zero-dimensional continuous space and deterministic phase geometries. The purpose of this eleventh paper is threefold:

- To present a chronological synthesis of the theory's development from Paper I through Paper X.
- To document the methodology and conceptual progression, explicitly identifying which mathematical formulations were refined, superseded, or validated over time.
- To provide a consolidated reference guide featuring the master table of derived physical constants, geometric factors, and foundational equations for experimental verification.

Chapter 1-a: Foundational Mechanics and Initial Conceptual Evolution (Paper I)

The Primordial Core: Vacuum Points and the Zero-Dimensional Oscillator (Chapters 1–12)
The foundational bedrock of the Theory of Spheres was introduced in Paper I through the concept of **near-absolute vacuum points**. By extending Louis de Broglie's matter-wave hypothesis - wherein intrinsic frequency approaches infinity as particle mass approaches zero - these local vacuum voids were identified as fundamental harmonic units. In later publications (from Paper II onward), this structure was formally rigorously defined as the **Zero-Dimensional (0-D) Continuous Oscillator**.

Already in this early formulation, gravitational attraction was not treated as an inherent particle pulling force, but rather as an emerging differential result: the isotropic external pressure exerted by an infinite distribution of surrounding near-absolute vacuum points upon a test point - (a mechanism fully elaborated in paper IIIa).

Evolution of Cosmological Mismatches: Dark Matter and Dark Energy
Paper I initially attempted to resolve cosmological discrepancies through early geometric models:

- **Dark Matter Mechanics:** Dark matter was originally modeled via a black hole quantum tunneling mechanism. However, since black hole quantum tunneling could not solve the overall problem, and explicitly because black hole singularities directly contradict the core principle of a continuous, oscillating universe without singular origins or true infinities, this preliminary tunneling model was subsequently abandoned in favor of the **Entangled Quadruplet geometry** introduced further in Paper I (Chapters 39-40).
- **Dark Energy as an Intermediate Framework:** The early treatment of dark energy in Paper I was explicitly recognized within the text itself as incomplete. Dark energy was ultimately shown to be a mathematical artifact - a **'cosmological band-aid'** - arising from static metric assumptions, which was fully resolved once the instantaneous variable speed of light **C(t)** and vacuum energy formulations were formalized. Later, In Chapters 7 – 9 of the paper, the speed of light was fundamentally defined as the instantaneous velocity of the cosmic oscillator, framing each near-absolute vacuum point as a foundational harmonic unit (a **'baby universe'** oscillator).

Superposition, Coordinate Invariance, and the Definition of Mass (Chapters 14–30).
A major theoretical milestone in Paper I was the shift in reference frame coordinate systems from the center of gravitational mass to the near-absolute vacuum points (light/radiation):

- **Coordinate Invariance:** The framework demonstrated physical equivalence between a conventional coordinate system centered on gravitational mass and a dual coordinate system anchored directly on near-absolute vacuum points (light/radiation). Furthermore, Chapter 10 introduced the measurement invariance principle, demonstrating how physical constants remain invariant when measured within sub-universes despite the dynamic global evolution.

- **Emergent Mass Definition:** Chapter 24 established that mass is not a fundamental entity, but rather: It is the net spatial curvature experience by the instantaneous superposition of all near-absolute vacuum points within a defined volume. This non-fundamental definition of mass provided the vital foundation for demonstrating quantum-gravitational unification in Paper IIIa.
- **Phenomenological Applications:** Tracking the center-of-mass motion of vacuum points provided early mechanical insights into photon creation, quantum superposition, macroscopic superconductivity (Ch. 29), and the Casimir effect (Ch. 30).

Time Asymmetry, Entangled Systems, and Abandonment of classical Black Hole / White Hole interpretations (Chapters *33–48)*

- **Time Travel Proposals and Time Flow Vector:** Chapters 33–35 explored theoretical time-machine concepts involving matter/antimatter exchange between entangled twins.
- **The Entangled Quadruplet Genesis:** The twin model evolved into the Entangled Quadruplet framework (Chapters 37–48), providing initial foundations for quantum spin, charge, matter-antimatter asymmetry, and dark matter distribution.
- **Discarded Black Hole / White Hole Models:** Chapters 45 - 46 extensively analyzed black holes and white holes. However, as the framework matured, classical black and white hole mechanics were recognized as incompatible with a continuous, non-singular oscillating universe, leading to their gradual abandonment.

Early Experimental Proposals and the 0-D Mathematical Epiphany

- **Evolution of the Optical Experiment:** Chapter 50–55 proposed preliminary setups to measure time-varying speed of light changes. While, since these configurations contradict the Entangled Quadruplet model, they were later superseded. Nevertheless, they directly paved the way for the rigorous, standardized **Optical Experiment Protocol** attached in Appendix A of Paper I.
- **The Zero-Dimensional Paradigm Shift:** The first part of Paper I concluded with a speculative question: ***Is it possible to formulate a complete system of physics and mathematics strictly in zero dimensions (0-D)?*** While initially deemed an almost insurmountable mathematical hurdle, this exact zero-dimensional framework was fully derived and validated Decades later in Papers II through IX.
- **Antimatter, Charge Definition, and the Universe as a Positron Equivalent:** Paper, I established that charge, matter and antimatter states are dynamic manifestations of the Universe's global harmonic oscillation state (expansion vs. contraction cycles discussed in the following papers). The paper further demonstrates that for an external observer from a Parent-Universe, our universe appears as a single positron.

Chapter 1-b: The Cosmic Optical Experiment Protocol

Originally proposed in the appendix of Paper I and subsequently refined into a rigorous measurement protocol, this framework provides an empirical test for the time-variable speed of light **(VSL)** model. Under a variable speed of light, the true physical distances to distant celestial objects - such as quasars - are significantly smaller than those inferred under the classical constant - **c** - assumption.

For example, calculations in the protocol demonstrate that a quasar observed at an apparent distance of 14 billion light-years under a constant speed of light is located at approximately 6.2 billion light-years when accounting for asymptotic light-speed evolution. Because the object is substantially closer, its required intrinsic luminosity (and energy output) drops by a factor of roughly 5.1 (corresponding to the square of the distance difference), bringing its observed energy output safely within the physical limits of the Eddington limit.

Further refinement of the protocol revealed that standard-candle calibrations - upon which cosmological distance ladders rely - must also undergo a corresponding **VSL correction**. When applied simultaneously to both the measured object and the calibration baseline, the **VSL correction** further reduces inferred intrinsic luminosities by up to a factor of **10** to $\mathbf{5.1^2 \approx 25}$ (25 representing the absolute upper limit under extreme boundary conditions), effectively resolving the apparent anomalies of excessively luminous high-redshift quasars without requiring ad-hoc physical mechanisms.

Chapter 2: Resolution of Cosmological Tensions and the Vacuum Catastrophe (Paper II)

Geometric Derivation of the True Hubble Constant (H_0)

Paper II establishes the mathematical transition from the foundational **0-D framework** to classical cosmological observables. By evaluating the derivative of the time-variant speed of light distribution **($C_{(t)}$)** the fundamental decay constant is calculated directly from first principles as $\mathbf{H_0 \approx 48.41}_{\mathbf{km/s/Mpc}}$, (or $\mathbf{K_{D0} \approx 4.95 \times 10^{-11}}_{\mathbf{(light\ year^{-1})}}$).

Standard cosmological measurements suffer from the **'Hubble Tension'** because they forcibly project a linear expansion law **($v = H_0D$)** onto an inherently exponential (asymptotic) light-speed decay. Applying the derived **70%** linear-to-exponential transformation factor to the standard arithmetic average of the empirical early and late-universe baselines (~ $70.5_{km/s/Mpc}$) yields a reconciled value of **($49.35_{km/s/Mpc}$)**. The minimal ~ 2% variance between the empirical reconciliation and the derived geometric value demonstrates that the **Hubble Tension** is an observational-projection artifact rather than a physical discrepancy.

Clarification on the Dual Nature of Light-Speed Evolution C(t):

To prevent any ambiguity regarding the behavior of the time-variant speed of light, it is essential to distinguish between two complementary aspects of C(t) within the framework:

- **Evolutionary Trajectory within the Current Quadrant:** Within our observable first cosmic quadrant, the fundamental speed of light is not static; it originates from zero **(0)** at the boundary and evolves dynamically, increasing toward a structural maximum before transitioning across subsequent oscillator quadrants.
- **Asymptotic Velocity Bound** (as illustrated in Paper II when calculating the 70% Transformation Factor for H_0): Concurrently, the asymptotic correction governing cosmic expansion ensures that no physical velocity - including the rate of spatial expansion itself - can exceed the local, instantaneous speed of light **C(t)**.

  Thus, C(t) acts both as a dynamic evolutionary engine scaling from zero in our quadrant and as a strict local causality bound.

The Lagrangian Origin of the Cosmological Constant (Λ)

Substituting the time-variant speed of light $\mathbf{C_{(t)}}$ into the Lagrangian of a zero-dimensional harmonic oscillator yields the exact coupling relation for the Cosmological Constant: $\mathbf{\Lambda = 2 \cdot H_0^2}$.

Applying the 70% transformation factor quadratically (as Λ scales with inverse area, m^{-2}) produces a theoretical value of $\mathbf{\Lambda \approx 0.548 \times 10^{-52}}_{\mathbf{m^{-2}}}$, aligning within a few percent with observational bounds $\mathbf{(0.542 \times 10^{-52}}_{\mathbf{m^{-2}}}\mathbf{)}$.

The 10^{122} Discrepancy as a Quantum-Cosmic Transfer Constant
Expressed in natural **Planck units**, the quadratic coupling $\Lambda = 2 \cdot H_0^2$ directly yields the dimensionless magnitude: $D_0 = \Lambda \approx 1.44 \times 10^{-122}$ (t_p^{-2})

This result reframes the notorious 122-order-of-magnitude discrepancy **('The Vacuum Catastrophe')** not as a theoretical failure of Quantum Field Theory, but as a universal, dimensionless scaling ratio **(D_0)** connecting the Planck scale **(l_p)** to the cosmic horizon (R_{cosmic}):

$$\Lambda \approx D_0 = \left(\frac{l_p}{R_{\text{cosmic}}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{t_p}{T_{\text{univ}}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{m_p}{M_{\text{univ}}}\right)^2 \approx 10^{-122}$$

Consequently, vacuum energy density is revealed as a scale-dependent geometric parameter, entirely eliminating the requirement for ad-hoc **'Dark Energy'** placeholders.

Initial Formulations and Subsequent Model Refinement
Early derivations in Paper II attempted to link the Gravitational Constant **G(t)** and the cosmic Schwarzschild radius **$R_s(t)$** directly to classical General Relativity and Friedmann's equations **($G \propto 1/ C^2_{(t)}$)**. Initially adopted under the working assumption of standard compatibility, these early formulations were ultimately found to be **flawed and unsupported by the ToS framework**. Recognizing that standard classical equations do not align with our 0-D morphological engine, these preliminary derivations were abandoned and successfully superseded by the fully unified pressure-gradient formalism established in Paper VI.

Chapter 3: The Quantum-Gravitational Force and Emergent Newtonian Mechanics (Paper IIIa)

Paper IIIa - which successfully supersedes an earlier, flawed distribution from the initial Paper III - addresses the primary foundational goal of the entire series: establishing a rigorous quantum-gravitational force. It does so by demonstrating that **Newton's Law** of Universal Gravitation **($1/R^2$)** is not an independent fundamental postulate, but an emergent geometric consequence of spherical normalization against an invariant external pressure **(P=1)** within the **0-D oscillator**.

By treating internal energy as a volumetric property distributed across the spherical boundary, the framework proves that physical mass is not a static particle property, but the net spatial curvature resulting from **sub-quantum interference**.

A central achievement of this work is the resolution of the Vacuum Catastrophe **(10^{122})**. As mass scales up toward macroscopic limits, destructive interference between intrinsic oscillator wavelengths and the invariant vacuum pressure causes the effective mass-energy interaction to decay exponentially via a structural coupling constant (denoted as α here, and later refined in Paper VI to α_s to avoid confusion with the fine-structure constant 1/137, which is also conventionally denoted by α). This natural geometric damping suppresses vast vacuum energy divergences down to a minimal residual baseline, ensuring the long-range stability of the universe while reducing with high precision to standard **Newtonian mechanics** across all observable scales.

Furthermore, it is crucial to emphasize the limits of this **Taylor series** expansion. While the first-order approximation successfully recovers standard Newtonian mechanics for all ordinary macroscopic and microscopic masses, the expansion breaks down when approaching the total mass scale of the universe. At this extreme threshold, the structural coupling term ceases to be negligible, and the **'Catastrophe'** component (linked directly to the cosmological constant Λ) becomes dominant. This transition mathematically bridges the gap between local Newtonian gravity and global cosmic stability, proving that the vacuum energy term is not a background artifact but the driving force of the system at the horizon scale.

Chapter 4: The Emergence of Planck's Constant (Paper IV)

Following the establishment of the quantum-gravitational force via vacuum pressure normalization in Paper IIIa, Paper IV addresses the derivation of perhaps the most fundamental quantum constant: **Planck's constant ($\hbar$)**.
Treating the universe as a dual quantum/classical oscillator within the zero-dimensional framework, the Quantum Uncertainty Principle is applied to the cosmic scale as a whole **($\Delta E_{univers} \times \Delta t_{univers} \geq \hbar_{univers} / 2$)**. By substituting macroscopic cosmological parameters - specifically the estimated mass of the universe **($\sim 10^{53}_{kg}$)**, the speed of light **($C \approx 3 \times 10^{8}_{m/sec}$)**, and the age of the universe **($\Delta t \approx 4.418 \times 10^{17}_{seconds}$)** - a cosmic-scale action value **($\hbar_{univers} \approx 8 \times 10^{87}_{j \cdot s}$)** is derived.

To transition from this macro-cosmological scale down to the quantum domain, the framework applies the universal dimensionless scaling constant **($\Lambda \approx 1.44 \times 10^{-122}$**, derived in Paper II). This operation successfully scales the cosmic action down to the quantum level **($\hbar_{quantum} = \hbar_{univers} \times \Lambda$)**, yielding an evaluated value of approximately **($\approx 1.14 \times 10^{-34}_{j \cdot s}$)**.

Comparing this derived value with the officially measured reduced **Planck constant ($\approx 1.054 \times 10^{-34}_{j \cdot s}$)** reveals an exceptional alignment, with a deviation of less than 10%. This striking correspondence - achieved using solely macro-cosmological parameters - provides strong empirical and theoretical support for the concept that **Planck's constan**t is an emergent geometric property of the zero-dimensional, dual quantum/classical, harmonic oscillator framework.

Chapter 5: The Sphere's Boundaries - Maximum Lifespan and Peak Light Speed (Paper V)

Following the establishment of quantum-gravitational forces in Paper IIIa and the derivation of **Planck's constant** in Paper IV, Paper V quantifies the fundamental temporal and velocity boundaries of the cosmic oscillation within the zero-dimensional framework. By extending the dual quantum/classical **Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle** to the universal energy-mass distribution, this paper determines both the theoretical lifespan of the universe and the peak velocity of light.

Derivation of the Cosmic Lifespan (t_{max}):
By applying the uncertainty principle to the total mass-energy of the universe and evaluating the asymptotic balance point of the time-variant speed of light distribution **($C(t) = e^{K(D_0)t} - 1$)**, where the time interval converges to the total elapsed time **($t \approx t_{max}$)**, the framework solves for **t_{max}**. This yields a theoretical lifespan of approximately **360 billion years** for the first cosmic quadrant (expansion from the starting boundary to the equilibrium point). Given the current estimated age of ~14 billion years, the universe is positioned in its absolute infancy - comparable to a child between 3 and 4 years old (roughly 4% of its total progression in this quadrant). Furthermore, the full cycle for a complete cosmic oscillation (four quadrants) is calculated at approximately **1.44 trillion years**.

Derivation of Maximum Light Speed (C_{max}):
Substituting the maximum timeframe **($t_{max} \approx 1.14 \times 10^{19}$ sec)** back into the light-speed evolution function yields a peak velocity at equilibrium. Normalized against the current epoch, the maximum speed of light **(C_{max})** reaches approximately **$0.5_{pc/sec}$ (1.76×10^{13} km/sec)**, which is about **5.8×10^{7}** times faster than **(C_{now})** These derivations provide a self-consistent geometric resolution to cosmic expansion and long-term space-time evolution without requiring ad-hoc parameters.

Chapter 6: Time, Inter-Universal Coupling, and Dynamical Gravitation (Paper VI)

Within this framework, cosmic time is proven to emerge as a variable inversely proportional to the square of the light velocity **($t = (1/C^2) \times (8 \times 10^{87}_{j \cdot c} / 2 \times 10^{53}_{kg}) \approx (4 \times 10^{34} / C^2)_{sec}$**. Crucially, this macro-cosmological ratio directly exposes the fundamental conversion factor between light speed evolution and cosmic time progression as the reciprocal of **Planck's constant ($t \propto 4 / (\hbar \times C^2)$)** and **($t \propto 1/ C^2_{(t)}$)**, demonstrating that **Planck's constant** functions as an intrinsic geometric scaling factor linking temporal dynamics to **C(t)**.

Following the establishment of the cosmic lifespan and maximum velocity boundaries, Paper VI introduces: the fundamental minimum temporal quantum **($\Delta t_{min} \approx 2.15_{min}$)**, derived from the macro-cosmological **Heisenberg uncertainty principle**, and the effective (imaginary) **3-D spatial projection radius** of the core cosmic engine box **($R_{engine} \approx 240_{ly}$)**.

By evaluating the inter-universal boundary pressure normalized to unity, the framework derives the structural coupling parameters - the internal modifier **(α_s)** and the external spatial parameter **(β_s)** - whose ratio yields a structural value closely approximating the fine-structure constant **($\approx 1/137$)**. Furthermore, this geometric architecture dictates that three-dimensional space **(D=3)** is the sole stable dimensionality capable of sustaining these coupling boundaries.

Finally, by formulating the analytical dynamical evolution of the universal gravitational constant **($G_{0(t)}$)**, the model demonstrates that space and curvature are not pre-existing fabrics, but dynamic emergent properties driven by the integral and derivative of the single variable **($C^2_{(t)}$)**. Recalibrating these boundaries under the native **0-D oscillator geometry** resolves historical scaling discrepancies, adjusting the **foundational gravitational constant** from the mainstream inflated figure to its true native scale of: **$G_{0(now,corrected)} \approx 3 \times 10^{-13}_{m^3 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot s^{-2}}$**.

Chapter 7: Introduction to the New Quantum Geometry Formalism (Paper VII)

The primary objective of Paper 7 - and subsequently Paper 8, which was interrupted mid-way - was the transition into the quantum domain, aiming to utilize the existing laboratory that we then believed to be solely quantum, and through its development to reach the **0-dimensional quantum light-mass**, and through it, quantum mechanics itself.

To achieve this, the paper develops a trigonometric framework spanning four quadrants, each of length **π** - yielding a full rotational cycle of **4π (720°)**. Within this geometry, properties such as spin, charge, and matter/antimatter separation are presented in a purely deterministic manner (avoiding probabilities or chance) through a complete state-map table.

Furthermore, we demonstrated the four alternative identities that replace the existing trigonometric identities of standard **360°** geometry, ensuring internal energy conservation, **Energy/Matter Transition** and phase-charge symmetry. We then utilized this geometry to show how it generates scattering angles in particle accelerators, analogous to **Snell's Law** - where the calculation of the refractive index equivalent when transitioning from 0-D to 3-D was left for potential future treatment.

From there, the framework advances to calculate the maximum number of possible energy levels ($\mathbf{n_{max} \approx 15}$) using the **'particle-in-a-box'** configuration, bounded by the cosmic maximum speed of light ($\mathbf{C_{max} \approx 5.8 \times 10^7}$, in natural unit system). By demanding an integer distribution of levels across all four quadrants, we arrived at the floor function ($\mathbf{\lfloor 3.75 \rfloor = 3}$ constraint: **3 levels** × **4 quadrants** = **12 stable levels**, corresponding directly to the 12 fundamental leptons.

Finally, their rest masses were calculated via the energy of intrinsic frequencies using a single calibration factor ($\mathbf{\alpha_k \approx 0.003023}$) - which itself proved to be linked to the fine-structure constant ratio **(1/137)** - yielding values in exceptionally close agreement with experimental measurements. Evaluating Planck's relation **(E = h × f)** alongside **($E = m \times C^2$)** using the stable light-speed frequencies ($\mathbf{C_0, C_1, C_2}$) of the first quadrant yields the rest mass spectrum:

$$m_n = \frac{h \cdot C_{\max} \cdot \left(\frac{e^{\alpha_k \cdot (C_{(t,n)}/C_{\max})} - 1}{e - 1} \right)}{C_{(t,n)}^2} \quad (n = 1,2,3)$$

- **Electron (e^-):** 0.5129 MeV (Observed: 0.5109 MeV, variance: 0.38%)
- **Muon (μ^-):** 105.33 MeV (Observed: 105.65 MeV, variance: -0.30%)
- **Tau (τ^-):** 1,773.49 MeV (Observed: 1,776.93 MeV, variance: -0.19%)

This demonstrates that lepton rest masses, spin structures, and charge asymmetries emerge deterministically from the underlying geometry of the 0-D oscillator.

Chapter 8: section II of the **New Quantum Geometry Formalism (Paper VIII)**

As mentioned previously, the transition into the quantum domain was primarily intended to calculate the 0-dimensional light-mass using the sole quantum laboratory we previously believed to exist. However, once it became evident that these granular quantum calculations were not strictly necessary for the global cosmic derivation (which was subsequently resolved in Papers IX and X), we bypassed Paper 8. We may return to it later, treating it primarily as a theoretical paper - with potential computational components - focusing on deterministic particle collision scattering (as a continuation of Paper VII), while mapping the complete neutrino spectrum, the six-quark mass hierarchy via pairwise and triplet quadrant couplings, W/Z gauge transition states, and gluon-free color confinement - thereby providing the geometric closure for the vast majority of the Standard Model.

Chapters 9 & 10: The 0-D Cosmic Light-Mass and the Quantum-Planck Scale Bridge (Papers IX & X)

Papers IX and X establish the global 0-D mass engine and bridge the macro-cosmic horizon with the microscopic quantum domain.

- **Paper IX (M^{l}_{D0} Derivation):** Instead of summing discrete particles, the framework integrates the continuous frequency spectrum of the 0-D oscillator engine. Correcting SI dimensions reveals a dynamic mass-energy action flow rate **($M^{AF}_{D0} \approx 2.51 \times 10^{88}$ kg/s).** Integrating this flow over the full cosmic cycle yields the isotropic quadratic action potential **($(M^{l}_{D0})^2 \approx 1.14 \times 10^{108}$ kg²)**. Projecting this potential into **3-D space** via square root phase extraction and 3-D spatial equipartition **($\sqrt{1/3}$)** yields an observable total oscillator mass of **(≈ 6.16 × 10^{53}kg)**. Within the symmetric entangled quartet **(4·π topology)**, our observable baryonic universe constitutes precisely one quarter **(25%, 1.54 × 10^{53}kg)**, matching astronomical observations without requiring dark energy.
- **Paper X (m_p and Hierarchical Bridge):** Extending the framework to the quantum scale reveals that the historical Planck mass **(m_p)** is not an arbitrary cutoff, but the quantum baryonic mass **($\sqrt{3} \times$ quantum 0-D light-phase mass)**. Crucially, as established in **Paper IV**, the cosmological scale coupling factor **Λ ≈ 1.44 × 10^{-122}** governs the action potential domain (which scales quadratically as the zero-dimensional light-mass). Consequently, the true transmission coefficient mapped directly to the linear zero-dimensional light mass is simply the square root of the scale factor, **$\sqrt{\Lambda}$ ≈ 1.2 × 10^{-61}** (or $\sqrt{D_0}$). This $\sqrt{\Lambda}$ acts as the exact hierarchical connection coefficient, mapping the 0-D light-mass deterministically across an infinite chain of parent and sub-universes without requiring spatial motion.

Master Reference Table & Formula Sheet (page 1):

Paper #	Core Concept	Mathematical Formulation	Parameter	Notes
Paper 1 + Appendix A & the Optical Experiment Protocol	exponential function	$C_{(t)} = e^{kt} - 1$	C(t) - the variable speed of light - VSL, t - time k - a constant, determined by initial condition ≈ 4.95105129E-$11_{(1/ly)}$	
	Calculated distance at the variable speed of light	$S = {e^{kt(2)}}/{k} - {e^{k(t(2)-t)}}/{k} - t$	t - distances from us at a constant speed of light t_2 - the time elapsed since the beginning of the universe ≈ $1.4\times10^{10}_{years}$ S - The calculated distance according to variable speed of light	The true brightness is (**s** divided by the distance under a constant speed of light) squared × the brightness under a constant speed of light. With dual correction for both the object and the standard-candle baseline, the Optical Experiment Protocol can account for much lower true brightness level sources.
Paper 2	Geometric Derivation of H_0 & Hubble Tension Resolution	$H_0 = k = \frac{d}{dt}C_{(t)} \approx 48.41_{km/s/Mpc}$	H_0 – true geometric Hubble constant	H_0 derived from 0-D light speed decay. 70% linear-to-exponential transformation factor reconciles late-universe baselines (~49.35 km/s/Mpc).
	Lagrangian Origin of Λ	$\Lambda = 2{H_0}^2 = 0.548 \times 10^{-52}{}_{m^{-2}} \approx 1.44 \times 10^{-122}{}_{(t^{-2}{}_p)}$	Λ – Cosmological constant	Λ – derived from oscillator Lagrangian
	Vacuum Energy Ratio	$D_0 = \Lambda = (\frac{l_p}{Rs})^2 \approx 1.44 \times 10^{-122}$	D_0 – Dimensionless scaling ratio	D_0 – linking Planck scale to cosmic horizon, eliminating dark energy placeholders.
Paper 3a	Structural Coupling Damping	$\alpha_s = \alpha = -\frac{1}{M^l{}_{D0}} ln\left(\frac{\Lambda R^3}{6M^l{}_{D0}}\right) = 2.3 \times 10^{-53}{}_{m.k.s\ unit}$	α – the Structural Coupling ⇒ α_s (Paper 6) - the internal Structural Coupling	Suppresses vast vacuum energy divergences down to a minimal residual baseline for cosmic stability. First-order approximation reduces precisely to Newtonian mechanics at macroscopic scales.
	Newtonian Gravity	$F_{eff}{}_{(t)} = G_{0_{(t)}} \times \frac{M^l{}_{D0} \times m^l{}_{D0}}{R^2}$	$M^l{}_{DO}$ & $m^l{}_{DO}$ - zero-dimension light-mass $\mathbf{G_{0(t)}}$ - time-dependent gravitational constant $F_{eff(t)}$ - Effective Force	Mass is modeled not as an intrinsic particle force, but as net spatial curvature from sub-quantum interference.
Paper 4	Derivation of Planck's Constant from Cosmic parameters	$\hbar_{quantum} = \hbar_{universe} \times \Lambda$ $\approx 8 \times 10^{87}{}_{j\cdot s} \times 1.44 \times 10^{-122} \approx 1.14 \times 10^{-34}{}_{j\cdot s}$	$\hbar_{universe}$ – macro-cosmological cosmic action Λ – universal dimensionless scaling constant = Cosmological constant $\hbar_{quantum} = \hbar$	Planck's constant derived via the dimensionless scaling constant Lambda
Paper 5	Cosmic Boundaries: Maximum Lifespan	$t_{max} = \frac{y}{H_0} \approx 3.6 \times 10^{11}{}_{year}$	t_{max} – Theoretical lifespan of the first cosmic quadrant	Cosmic Boundaries derived via Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle applied to total cosmic mass-energy and VSL evolution.
	Peak Velocity of Light	$C_{max} \approx 5.8 \times 10^7 \times C_{now}$ $\approx 1.76 \times 10^{13}{}_{km/sec} \approx 0.5_{pc/sec}$	C_{max} – Maximum light speed at the equilibrium point of the cosmic oscillation	
Paper 6 (Continued on next page)	Emergent Cosmic Time	$t = \frac{4 \times 10^{34}}{{C_{(t)}}^2}{}_{sec} \Rightarrow t \propto \frac{4}{\hbar \times {C_{(t)}}^2} \propto \frac{1}{{C_{(t)}}^2}$	t – Cosmic time inversely proportional to the square of light velocity, and related to Planck's constant.	
	Minimum Cosmic Temporal Quantum	$\Delta t_{min} = \frac{\hbar_{universe}}{2 \times m_{universe} \times {C_{(t,max)}}^2} \approx 129_{sec} = 2.15\ min$	Δt_{min} – Minimum Cosmic temporal quantum	Δt_{min} – defining the collapse of dimensional translation; native engine box radius $R_{engine} \approx 240_{ly}$

Master Reference Table & Formula Sheet (Continued):

Paper #	Core Concept	Mathematical Formulation	Parameter	Notes
Paper 6 - Continuation	External Structural Coupling	$\beta_s = -\frac{1}{M^l{}_{D0}} ln\left(\frac{R^3}{6M^l{}_{D0}}\right) \approx -2.82 \times 10^{-51}{}_{m.k.s\ unit}$	β_s - the external Structural Coupling	Dividing this value (α_s) parameter by the (β_s) parameter (2.32 / 282.9 ≈ 0.0082) yields a coupling ratio on the order of ≈ 1/137 - known as the fine-structure constant 'α'
	Dynamical Gravitational Constant	$G_{0(t)} = \frac{\dot{C_{(t)}} \times (\int_0^t C_t\, dt)^2}{M^l{}_{D0} \times e^{-\alpha_s \times M^l{}_{D0}}} = \frac{H_0 e^{H_0 t} \times (\int_0^t C_t\, dt)^2}{M^l{}_{D0} \times e^{-\alpha_s \times M^l{}_{D0}}} = \frac{H_0 \times (C_{(t)} + 1) \times \left(e^{H_0 t}/H_0 - 1/H_0 - t\right)^2}{M^l{}_{D0} \times e^{-\alpha_s \times M^l{}_{D0}}}$		$G_0(t)$ – Generalized time-dependent universal gravitational constant derived from first principles, that exposes space as the accumulated integral of C(t) and curvature/force as its time-derivative ($\dot{C}(t)$), proving gravity is the ratio between integrated and derived operations.
	Corrected gravitational constant	$G_{0(now,corrected))} = \frac{H_0 \times (C_{(t)} + 1) \times R^2}{M^l{}_{D0} \times e^{-\alpha_{corrected} \times M^l{}_{D0}}} \approx 3 \times 10^{-13}$	$\alpha_{corrected}$ - recalibrated Internal Coupling ≈ - 8.45 × 10^{-61} $\beta_{corrected}$ - recalibrated External Coupling ≈ -6 × 10^{-59}	Corrected G_0 resolves the 1/220 metric variance caused by mainstream 8-fold radius overestimation.
Paper 7	4π Phase Geometry & $T^Q{}_{D0}$ Identities	$[T^Q{}_{D0}(C(t))]^2 + [T^Q{}_{D0}(C(t) - \pi/2)]^2 = 1$ $T^Q{}_{D0}(C(t)) \times T^Q{}_{D0}(C(t)-\pi/2) = 1/2 \times [T^Q{}_{D0}(2 \times C(t)]$ $T^Q{}_{D0}(2 \times C(t)) = 2 \times T^Q{}_{D0}(C(t)) \times T^Q{}_{D0}(C(t)-\pi/2)$ $T^Q{}_{D0}(2\times(C(t)-\pi/2)) = [T^Q{}_{D0}(C(t)-\pi/2)]^2 - [T^Q{}_{D0}(C(t)]^2$	$T^Q{}_{D0}$ – 0-D phase function with 4π (720°) cyclical periodicity	$T^Q{}_{D0}$ replacing standard 2π sine. Complete deterministic state map across 8 sub-phases defining Spin (↑/↓), Charge (±), and Matter/Antimatter (M^-/M^+) domains
	Internal Refraction (Snell's Law Analogy)	$n_1 \sin(\theta_1) = n_2 \sin(\theta_2)$ and $n_1 \sin(\varphi_1) = n_2 \sin(\varphi_2)$	n1, n_2 – Effective refractive indices governing internal 0-D phase domains (subscript 1) transitioning into 3-D spatial domains (subscript 2).	Deterministic phase-vector refraction: bends internal 0-D angles (θ_1, φ_1) into observable 3-D spatial scattering angles (θ_2, φ_2) via Snell's law analogy.
	Maximum Energy Levels & 12-Lepton Capacity	$n_{max} = \ln(C_{max}/(4\pi)) \approx 15$ $n_{quadrant} = \lfloor 3.75 \rfloor = 3$	n_{max} - Maximum energy level bound dictated by cosmic C_{max} $n_{quadrant}$ - Integer floor function	$n_{quadran}$ constraint enforces boundary stability across π quadrants, establishing the 12 fundamental leptons (3 stable levels per quadrant: C_0, C_1, C_2) x $4_{quadrant}$.
	Charged Lepton Rest Mass Spectrum	$m_n = \frac{h \times C_{max} \times \frac{e^{\alpha_k(\frac{e^{H_0 \cdot t_{n-1}}}{C_{max}})} - 1}{e-1}}{(e^{H_0 \cdot t_n} - 1)^2}$ $(n = 1,\ 2,\ 3)$	t_n - Discrete temporal nodes corresponding to the stable light-speed levels in the first quadrant (t_1 = 29.027, t_2 = 211.41, t_3 = 279.8 billion years). m_n – Rest masses of Electron (0.5129 MeV), Muon (105.33 MeV), and Tau (1,773.49 MeV). Evaluated via a single universal calibration factor $\alpha_k \approx 0.003023$ (linked to (α/e) ≈ (1/137)/e), matching experimental values within <0.38% variance	
Paper 8	Maps subatomic spectra directly from 4-phase quadrant geometry: derives the full 6-quark spectrum via pairwise/triplet observer coupling, neutrinoid mass-squared differences (Δm^2) from oscillator turning/central nodes, W and Z_0 electroweak transition states, deterministic quantum dispersion (ΔΦ), and quantitative Casimir effect validation.			
Paper 9	General Cosmic Projection Relation & Baryonic Mass	$(\mathbf{M^l_{D0}})^2 = 16 \times n \times \mathbf{M^2_{baryonic}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{M^l_{D0}} = 4\sqrt{n} \times \mathbf{M_{baryonic}}$	n – Spatial coordinate dimensions (n = 3 for our universe). $M_{baryonic}$ – Observable baryonic mass of the universe (~1.54 × 10^{53} kg).	Universal projection scaling law mapping 0-D light-mass potential ($M^l{}_{D0}$) to 3-D spatial geometry (n=3) and 4π quadrant symmetry (25% baryonic matter), without requiring dark energy dark matter placeholders.
Paper 10	Planck mass	$m_p \approx M_{b(qua)} = \frac{M^l{}_{D0(qua)}}{\sqrt{3}} = M_{b(qua)} \times \sqrt{D_0}$	m_p – Historical Planck mass (~2.176 × 10^{-8} kg), reinterpreted as Quantum Baryonic Mass ($1/\sqrt{3}$ of the 0-D quantum phase pulse).	Demystifies Planck mass not as a static limit, but as the fundamental 0-D quantum engine pulse.
	Universal Scale Bridge	$\mathbf{M^l{}_{D0(Sub-Universe)}} = \frac{\mathbf{M^l_{D0}} \times \sqrt{\Lambda}}{4}$ ***and*** $M^l{}_{D0(Parent-Universe)} = \frac{M^l{}_{D0}}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}$	$\sqrt{\Lambda} \approx \sqrt{D_0} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-61}$ - Cosmic-to-quantum scale connection coefficient.	Establishes a deterministic hierarchical bridge mapping 0-D light-mass potential across an infinite chain of parent and sub-universes without spatial motion.

Conclusion: Closing the Historical Loop and Future Horizons

The **Theory of Spheres (ToS)** completes a century-long quest in physics, providing a deterministic, geometric foundation that replaces arbitrary coupling parameters, probability waves, and non-observed entities with a single **zero-dimensional harmonic light-engine**.

By establishing the **0-D Continuous Oscillator** as the core engine, the series resolves foundational historical enigmas, three examples are:

- **Newton's Gravitational Mechanism:** The inverse-square law **($1/R^2$)** is proven to be the dynamic pressure differential of the 0-D engine mapped onto a 3-D spherical boundary, satisfying Newton's missing mechanical cause.
- **Planck's Absolute Mass (m_p):** Planck's mass is demystified - not as an arbitrary quantum threshold, but as the exact quantum baryonic mass derived via the spatial equipartition factor **($\sqrt{1/3}$)** from the 0-D light-phase.
- **Einstein's Dynamic Spacetime:** Dynamic spacetime curvature is revealed as the macroscopic **'shadow'** and spatial memory of the non-linear light-speed trajectory **C(t)** within the 3-D projection.

Future Computational Horizons: Full Phase-Wave Projection
The culmination of this framework opens a transformative avenue for future computational physics:

- **Macroscopic Cosmological Determinism:** By inserting a complete, non-probabilistic 0-D wave function into high-performance supercomputing architectures, future researchers can compute the full geometric projection from 0-D to 3-D spatial continuum. This will allow deterministic calculations of cosmic matter distributions, stellar formation rates, and exact stellar life-cycles without relying on statistical approximations.
- **Quantum-Cosmic Analogy (Lepton,** such as the **Electron, as a Sub-Universe):** The exact same projection mathematics can be applied in reverse to the subatomic realm. The quantum lepton cloud is fundamentally revealed as a holographic sub-universe, governed by the self-similar scale-invariant dynamics of the parent 0-D engine.

Four centuries of fragmented observational physics thus converge into a single, elegant, and fully deterministic 0-D spatial geometry

To the beginning of the paper

© Eran Shimony, 2026
All rights reserved by the author.
